

Sustainable development and international economic law in Africa

Manzoor Hassan

LLB, Department of Law, University of Sargodha

Abdul Warraich

LLM Scholar , PU, Lahore

Abstract

The industrialized world has promoted sustainable development as a method to guarantee the most advantageous kind of progress. This has led to a set of regulations that, albeit being well-intentioned, fails to sufficiently meet the developmental requirements of emerging nations. This has created conflict between first and third-world countries. The developing nations fear that it may offset their developmental prospects. Most African nations' initiatives to embrace sustainable development measures have been more or less contentious. This paper aims to analyze the concept of sustainable development and its level of political acceptability in international economic law concerning African countries. Of particular concern is the argument here that African countries have to be more purposive about sustainable development to ensure that each treaty elicits economic transformation and sustainability.

Keywords: Sustainable development, international economic law, African treaties

Introduction

Sustainable development has indeed obtained the recognition in the field of international economic law by incorporating the legalization of the creation of the WTO by means of the Marrakesh Agreement and many others. Sustainable development attempts to transform IEL by establishing the rules for development processes and goals. It is intended to create capacity and the capacity of the states was increased. Despite being the most suitable concept to stimulate development, socialisation has been problematic when incorporated into the IEL accords. Usually, treaties made for implementation of sustainable development policies may restrain policy mobility of the nations due to provisions that are embraced in the treaties. Such a shrinkage in the policy space has been lauded for being advantageous to emergent individuals



because the policy shall guarantee only the optimal type of growth. However, there is no consensus on the positive effects that these limits have for which developing countries argued that they hinder their ability to apply the most effective growth policies. Politicalised discourses to do with sustainable development limiting the developmental prospects are associated with conceptualising the idea. Sustainable development emerged from such apprehensions as those relating to enhancement of economic development and reduction of the range of choices for development South States. In more recent years, sustainable development has incorporated many more politicised aspects of international economic law – labour and human rights. These factors attend hiking concerns that sustainable development operates as a method of maintaining the existing order of experiences of developed and developing countries. The present article following a literature review outlines and evaluates the SD requirements of African nations' International economic law. This article falls under five subtopics. The first section is focused on the topic of sustainable development while the second section specifies the tenable legal characteristics of sustainable development regulations. Part three deals with methods on sustainable development while part four gives a description of some instruments in the international economy Part five provides directions and conclusion.

Conceptual Frameworks

Although a globally agreed definition of sustainable development is lacking, the most frequently cited definition comes from the Brundtland Report: Sustainable development which does not pose a threat to satisfaction of the needs of the next generation but provides total satisfaction of needs of the present.

Despite three aspects, the concept is not well-defined; it contains confusion. It may be long or short depending to each part's significance. Sustainable development components are obligatorily defined, they are quantitatively different, and have partial overlaps and they can be rivals. For that reason, the genesis of the most appropriate definition of sustainable development entails the attainment of the standard through haggling and bargaining. It is an objective method since the definition above has been based on principles that guide this



method. The cardinal principles are; interdependence, wholism, equity, efficiency, productivity, and the elimination of poverty. It assists in coming up with a proper definition which can be given to the impacted parties; but the downside of this pliable notion is that it can easily be exploited for ethically wrong motives hence make the aspect of sustainable development a fake pillar.

Sustainable Development Provisions As a Component Of The International Economic Law

Sustainable development and international economic law have coexisted for the past three decades. A clear understanding from this work is that several sustainable human development goals are well captured by the regular IEL conventions. Such fears are justified here because the increase in use of SD instruments that either have stronger push towards achieving sustainability or are required may alter this principle of gradual liberalisation of international economic law. Certain such sustainable development provisions can cause taking protectionism protection measures. This is particularly wrong for emerging international locations because their growth entails the facility to get admission to affluent markets. markets in developed countries are already relatively opaque for emergent countries to an even greater extent. Some people fear that Integrated Assessment could impose more new regulation for sustainable development to apply mainly the differentiation based on physical characteristics only as far as as economically, ecologist and socially as efficiently unsustainable production processes are concerned. However, such constraint is in existence and typical examples have resulted into restrictions of market access on grounds of sustainable development. Sustainable development has become integrated into various global trade law mechanisms emerging in the current century including; contemporary regional integration agreements and novel generation bilateral investment treaties.

Particularly, the concrete SDOs in the outlined accords have raised coverage and implementation.

The frequent forms of SD provisions are even less precise, rhetorical, and unobligatory legally binding reservations referring to various concerns regarding sustainable development. Such

frequently can be found in the preamble and objective clause section of the contract. These clauses are affirmations and/or declarations by the parties to support/further sustainable development where their domestic/international legislation allows. World agreements place a big emphasis on the concept of sustainable development. Nevertheless, before we proceed any further on the acknowledgment of the interpretative characteristic of the preambles and declaratory provisions or statements it is noteworthy that they do not give rise to legal relations on, regarding the contractual parties in so far as they do not impose rights/duties on the contractual parties. These binding provisions for sustainable development also come in two flavours. There may be conditions which have to be complied so as to obtain this licence or conditions which the applicant may choose when they want to perform them. By their nature, forensic clauses require the contracting parties to engage in more affirmative enforcement or to refrain from enforcing activity that is inconsistent with sustainable development. Exemptive provisions save the regulatory faculties of the obtained parties to work on measures for implementing sustainable development that is outside any treaty.

Still, in terms of the amount of sustainable development that the different accords include, the various accords are not the same. The most popular ones relate to sustainable development in the broad sense, that is, to those concepts that can be used in solving problems of sustainable development in its widest sense. Sustainable development is not defined to have a distinction for specific pillars under such regulation therein. In the case where the provisions of sustainable development are substantiated and the provisions are phrased, it will be found that the general provisions correspond to the three recognized spheres of sustainable development. These are the environmental and social clause. The provisions require four types of sustainable development as stakeholders. The first category is more general declarative clauses which do not interact with the idea of the particular protective measure and which do not have to be connected to the term mentioned in the title. Occasionally, such sections cite international standards as the source of information, but fail to integrate them into such an agreement. It emerged in the second category of non derogation clauses, which require States to maintain



their domestic protection standards. Another kind of provision ask of stated to adopt all necessary steps to ensure that aspect of sustainable development as provided under national and international law. The fourth type enables the contracting States be exempted from fulfilling state obligations that constitutes commitments under sustainable development policies that are prejudicial to the IIAs.

a Review Of Literature Through a Conceptual Scope Of Specific Instruments In International Economic Law

This study explores three kinds of transactional commitments given by African countries to sustainable development. The first considered Agreement was the Marrakesh agreement to establish the WTO organization. would form the premier international legal organization termed as an economic community in which African States have Membership as a bloc. non-cubed provisions of networks transshipment are analyzed as the prototype. The second category of agreements also involve only African States. The sources of this document are Charter establishing the African Union and the Agreement establishing the African Continental Free Trade Area. This review is going to involve the SADC Treaty and the Trade and Investment Protocols of the SADC recognized regional economic communities of the AU. This is because in most bilateral agreements, the parties involved are the African states and thus the levels of development are usually comparable; the states themselves have a clearer understanding of, and are more capable of, advocating for developmental objectives. They are only interested in getting some gains in relation to integration of the regions and, therefore, come up with better pictures of their development needs. The third type of agreement discussed is the one with a developed country, The European Union (EU). The conditions necessary to fully access these markets dictate most of the agreements that are built with industrialised countries. As much as above provisions were agreed, there can be a challenge with the partner if being a developed nation changes the above provision.

The World Trade Organization

Many issues are written in the preamble of the Marrakesh Agreement which was signed to establish WTO that include sustainable development. Also, this text is not meant to establish the framework of the idea through pointing out specific provisos on the sustainable development. This view makes the widely used notion of sustainable development fully appropriate. In case no delimiting definition of the notion is provided, the discussion members are free to introduce their definitions. Sustainable development is normally included in a declarative provision most of the times. Surprisingly, there is actually very sparse and indeed, rather a 'lite' use of the term Sustainable Development within this literature.

The Constitutive Act of the African Union

As mentioned in the article of aims of African Union's Constitutive Act on sustainable development is. It is the environmental idea that is especially applied to the economical, social and cultural systems. Sustainable development is defined in a different way under this agreement. It is only mentioned, and not so defined or otherwise applied in different contexts as the technical or the economic one. The above criteria determine the specific attribute of this concept for African States. Interestingly, the environment is utterly missing in the list of enumerated domains of sustainability while the rest of the components have been rightly identified. Conventional development has been partially defined, but this mostly important provision seeks to both prescribe and define that concept as sustainable development. It remains as it is in the African Union's implementation document of the Agenda 2063. This is why, the word "sustainable development" in this instrument has been retained without changing word because the legal enforcement of the implementation plan is almost negligible. In this regard, the opinion conforms to the desire of the developing nations not to exaggerate on the role of this concept in law. In this document the environmental provision is declarative and can only be enforced to a limited extent and it is tied to the communities. Its application has been confined to addressing current issues that affect the community; an aspect of inter-

generational use. But if all these shifts are synthesized, they all detract from the structural components of what the concept stands for.

The SADC And Sustainable Development

The only clue that could be gleaned that SADC employs a concept of sustainable development which is not exclusive of exhaustible natural resources is to be found under the Preamble as part of the Treaty's objectives. This to a great extent is a declarative clause on sustainable economic growth and development. There is the evident statement of the premier importance of economic growth for the meeting of the area needs to respond to the requirements. The effective has something very important going by the name the environmental part of this agreement. It also guarantee the utilisation of resources within tun and effective protection of ecology. It is a provision of declaration from which in general it is a provision regarding environment. This agreement shifts the paradigms associated with the ideas of sustainable development in three ways. First of all, incorporating phrases that would be useful for clarifying the very concept was important. Second, sustainable economic growth is not like environment is at the heart of it. In their view, the use of environment leads to the conservation of the environment. The changes noted above amount to the SADC-specific application and analysis of sustainable development. These adjustments indicate a conceptional model that basically is anthropocentric and "economically" hegemonic and distinct from the normally expected paradigm. The SADC encompasses three regional economic legal agreements: There is then the Protocol on Trade, the Protocol on Finance and Investment, and the Protocol on Trade in Services. The only subject that is found explicitly within the Protocol on Trade is the lack of sustainable development as an element to be considered and the WTO rules. There is also no sign of sustainable development in all the annexes mentioned. The WTO Agreements are, therefore, the only proper sustainable development requirements which are relevant under the SADC Protocol on Trade. In the preamble of the Protocol on Finance and Investment, we find the notion of the enhanced rate of economic growth for sustainable development is mentioned.



Sustainable Development On The State

Much as it is regrettable, the enforcement levels of sustainable development rules are extremely low. The accords like the EPA has frameworks which has to be fulfilled when it comes to putting into practice sustainable development. In the present Agreement this execution of sustainable development is assigned to the joint committee on trade and development. Thus, sustainability cooperation is reduced to the sphere of discursive practices concerning sustainable development. Unfortunately, in most of these conflict resolution systems the principles of sustainable development are not integrated. All the mandatory measures are preconditioned with the recognition of the rights of the parties to set standards for themselves in the sphere of protection of the environment, and these norms can be changed only with the help of legislation of the Russian Federation. This is compounded by the fact that the SADC region has only demonstrated a limited appetite for the enforcement of judgments from supra-national sources at the domestic level. Hence, sustainable development is reasonable that may be enforced relying on provisions of the national legislation of such States and their capability under it.

Conclusion

The looked treaties indicate the willingness of African countries to the sensible economic growth within the scope of IEL instruments. Most of the requirements that have been identified are mainly declarative in nature with pointers to sustainable development in what is relatively general terms in most cases. The instruments has a propensity to constrain and decrease discussion of sustainable development. Besides, most of the African States enter into contract with other developed regions of the world that have legal sustainable development indexes. Therefore, the next level of the sustainable development discourse for African nations therefore would demand the codification of a new set of sustainable development conditionality in the international economic law agreements. These difficulties must be catered for in the other development of the template as it ensues. Therefore, the retention of declaratory clauses is required to sustain solely the regulation independence at the bid of



domestic law. Furthermore, the elements on such requirements should be restricted to the known three types. Similar to the general idea of pillars, specificity is also needed in the parts forming the individual pillar of sustainable development. Also, any time States refer to over three pillars, there is need to explain whether one is putting forward a procedural, a substantive or both rules. Thirdly, African States should stick to inter-generation justice, though they should signal commitment to it because it may result in perpetration of poverty. Fourthly, we are to determine a proper organizational connection between sustainable development and economical growth. Since there are certain indexes of the economic development, which should be fulfilled to adopt the enforceable legislation. The developed countries would have put in place funds through which they would embark on the hunt for sustainable development. Fifthly, the rules for sustainable development should include a capacity building support measure to reach beyond the Implementation aid. These may be enriched to incorporate compensation for failure to utilise these resources. Seventhly, the sovereignty integrity has to be maintained. Decisions or resolutions regarding the recruitment and selection of staff members should also only involve AU Member States. Thus, the information exchange with other States should be restricted only to the type of notifications regarding regulations and processes associated with sustainable development. But it is required to determine the sphere of participation when States organize accords with clear tools. Seventhly, the promotion of corporate social responsibility is praiseworthy: It means, investors thus have to be in accord with these national laws. But those concerns can be most appropriately addressed under the investment subhead of the BITs and Free Trade Agreement. This means that the laws for sustainable development do not fit the investor-State accountability scenario.

References

Agbor-Baiyee BA "Can Africa compete in a global economy" in House-Soremekun B &Folola T (eds) Globalisation and Sustainable Development in Africa Rochester: University of Rochester Press (2011) 43.

Aseeva A “(Un)sustainable development(s) in international economic law : a quest for sustainability” (2018) Environmental Law for Sustainability available at <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/10/11/4022/htm> (accessed 13 April 2019).

Baker P Handbook on negotiating sustainable development provisions in preferential trade agreements, ESCAP and ARTNeT (2018)

Brundtland GH Our common future (20 March 1987) United Nations Report available at <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5987our-common-future.pdf> (accessed 30 January 2019). 12 United Nations Sustainable Development “United Nations Conference on Environment and Development 3-14 June 1992 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Agenda 21”

Garcia FJ “Trade and inequality: economic justice and the developing world” (2000) 21 Mich J Int'l L 975.

Harrison A Vitalis V & Upton S “Sustaining whose development? Analysing the international effect of national policies on sustainable development” (2003) OECD background paper available at <http://www.oecd.org/sd-roundtable/papersandpublications/39360733.pdf> (accessed 27 January 2019)

Sampson GP “The WTO and sustainable development: a reply to Robertson” (2008) World Trade Review 467 available at <https://doi:10.1017/S1474745607003539> (accessed 27 January 2019).

Schrijver NJ & Weiss F “Forward” in Schrijver NJ & Weiss F (eds) International law and sustainable development: principles and practice (Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff (2004) at xii.

Van den Bossche P The law and policy of the World Trade Organisation: text, cases and materials 2nd ed Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2008) at 17.

WTO “Understanding the WTO: basic principles of the trading system” available at https://www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/whatis_e/tif_e/fact2_e.htm (accessed 04-09-2019); WTO “Regional trade agreements”



ISSN(Online) 3006-3035

ISSN(Print) 3006-3027

Law research journal(LRJ)

http [https //lawresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/index](https://lawresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/index)

Pages 31-41

WTO “WT/DS 332 Brazil - Measures affecting imports of retreaded tyres” available at https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds332_e.htm (accessed 22 July 2019) ;

WTO “WT/DS 58 United States - Import prohibition of certain shrimp and shrimp products” available at https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/dispu_e/cases_e/ds58_e.htm (accessed 22-07-2019).

WTO “WT/DS2 United States - Standards for reformulated and conventional gasoline”